

United States Patent and Trademark Office

am

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,349	07/17/2001	Mark Bagley	36-1462	2632
7590 05/12/2005			EXAMINER	
NIxon & Vanderhye			NGUYEN, CAM LINH T	
8th Floor 1100 North Glebe Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA 22201-4714			2161	
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/889,349	BAGLEY ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	CamLinh Nguyen ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Fe	bruary 200 <u>5</u> .					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/24/05. S. Patent and Trademark Office	Paper No(s)/Mail D					

Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This Office action is response to the RCE filed on 2/24/2005. Consequently, claims 17 –
 have been added. Claims 1 – 22 are currently pending for further processing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 5, 13 - 16 recite the limitation "each template file being effective, when applied to a content file" in second limitation of these claims respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donohue et al (U.S. 5,987,480) in view of Christensen et al (U.S. 6,055,543).
- \bullet As per claim 1, 5, 9 10, 13 16, the combination of Donohue and Christensen disclose:

Art Unit: 2161

Donohue et al (U.S. 5,987,480) discloses a method of managing information bearing content files stored in a computer file system, comprising:

- "The computer file system being divided into directories" col. 13 lines 22 26.
- "Locating one or more content files" corresponds to the command to locate documents (col. 7 lines 27 30).
- The "content files" corresponds to the "documents" that stored in the data source 12 in Fig. 1 (col. 7 lines 35 44).
- "Associating one or more template files with each directory in which at least one content file is stored" See col. 5 lines 25 31. The documents stored in the web server contain different formats; therefore, when applying to the template, it will carry out a respective predetermined operation on the documents (col. 1 lines 57 65).
- "Applying the or each template file associated with a given directory to each content file stored in that directory" col. 7 lines 15 22.
- "Wherein the respective directory in which each content file is stored determines which of the or each template file is applied" col. 5, lines 63 67, col. 10, lines 43 48.

Donohue teaches that the data source and the templates, which organized in a directory, are stored on the server computer, but does not clearly teach that the directory stores the content file and the template. However, Christensen discloses a search system that the content file and the metadata are stored together in a content wrapper that is organized in a directory (col. 4, lines 55 - 56, 64 - 67, col. 5, lines 26 - 28, col. 6, lines 35 - 36). Both inventions are in the same filed (search and delivery document to user using metadata). Donohue and Christensen suggest that

Art Unit: 2161

the invention may be modified to archive the scope of the claims (col. 14, lines 28 - 36, Donohue; col. 4, lines 41 - 47, Christensen).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the name-value in Donohue invention by the content file of Christensen because the combination would reduce the network traffic in searching for data. Only the metadata is accessed to perform the search (col. 5 lines 63 – col. 6 lines 5, Christensen), rather than the entire of document.

- ◆ As per claim 2, 6, the combination of Donohue and Christensen disclose:
 - "The computer file system is divided into a hierarchical arrangement of directories" col.
 5 lines 26 30, Donohue.
- As per claim 3, 7, the combination of Donohue and Christensen disclose:

Donohue teaches that the templates are stored in the directory. Each associate with a particular document or group of documents (col. 5 lines 26 - 30, Donohue.); therefore, the association of a template with a directory is made on the basis of the template file being stored in that directory.

- As per claim 4, 8, the combination of Donohue and Christensen disclose:
 - "Associating metadata with each content file" See Fig. 3, col. 5 lines 8 10, Christensen.
 - "Carrying out the respective pre-determined operation on each content file... metadata" col. 1 lines 57 65, Donohue.
- \bullet As per claim 11 12, the combination of Donohue and Christensen disclose:

Art Unit: 2161

- "The association of a template with a directory is made on the basis of the template file being stored in at least one of that directory and a parent directory of that directory" col. 10, lines 43 – 48, Donohue.

- ♦ As per claim 17 22, the combination of Donohue and Christensen disclose:
 - "Wherein the applying the or each template file associated with a given directory to each content file stored in that directory generates a corresponding template information-bearing file whose appearance is controlled by the or each associated template file" See the abstract of Donohue. The "corresponding template information-bearing file" corresponds to the "populated document" that is delivered to the user computer.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 2/24/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the combination of Donohue and Christensen fails to teach a computer file system storing both one or more content files and one or more template files and being divided into directories". The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

As discussed above, Donohue discloses a template directory; each template is corresponding to one of a plurality of documents (see col. 5, lines 24 - 31). Christensen discloses a retrieval system that the content file and the metadata are stored together (see the abstract of Christensen). As the result of the combination, the invention clearly includes a template directory (Donohue) that associated with a corresponding document (content file in Christensen).

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/889,349

Art Unit: 2161

Page 6

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CamLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4024. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on (571) 272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 - 872 - 9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 305-3900.

ALFORD KINDRED PRIMARY EXAMINE

LN